

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811)
Chief, Criminal Division

FRANK J. RIEBLI (CABN 221152)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-6935
FAX: (415) 436-7234
Frank.Riebli@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. CR 13-558 RS
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
)	EXCLUDING TIME
v.)	
)	
GEORGE JAMES LOVRIN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

STIPULATION

On January 21, 2014, at 2:30 p.m., the government and defendant George James LOVRIN appeared before the District Court through counsel. The parties agreed to return on February 18, 2014, at 2:30 p.m., for a change of plea or trial setting. Additional discovery has been produced since the last court appearance, and the government and LOVRIN's counsel are continuing to discuss resolution. LOVRIN's counsel requires time to review the discovery, investigate this matter, and confer with the defendant, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Therefore, the parties agreed that time should be excluded between January 21, 2014 and February 18, 2014 in order to ensure the effective preparation of counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 13-558 RS

1 The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best
2 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

3 SO STIPULATED.

4 DATED: January 21, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

5 MELINDA HAAG
6 United States Attorney

7 _____/s/_____
8 FRANK J. RIEBLI
Assistant United States Attorney

9 DATED: January 21, 2014


10 _____/s/_____
11 STUART HANLON
Attorney for George James Lovrin

12 **~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

13 For the reasons stated in the above stipulation, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from
14 January 21, 2014 through and including February 18, 2014 is warranted and that the ends of justice
15 served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
16 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant
17 effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §
18 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 DATED: January 22, 2014

21 
22 HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
23 United States District Court Judge
24
25
26
27
28